Presentation on Group activities under Technical

Session 1: NBAP & NBTs and

Technical Session 2: Mobilising Resources

State: ARUNACHAL PRADESH



Dr. B. Sinha, ZSI, APRC, Itanagar







Dr. K. Bagra, APBB, Itanagar

Activities undertaken in line with Biological Diversity Act (BDA), 2002

| State Biodiversity Boards (Section 22 of BDA) | |
|--|--|
| Establishment of State Biodiversity Board (SBB) | : Yes |
| What is the composition of the SBB | : 15 members (Chairman, MS, 7 Ex-Officio, 6 non-official) |
| Frequency of meetings of the SBB | : Half-yearly |
| Notification of Chata Diadice with Dules (Coation | . Voc |
| Notification of State Biodiversity Rules (Section | : Yes |
| 63 of BDA') | |
| Biodiversity Management Committees (Section 41 of the BDA) | |
| Number of Biodiversity Management | : 99 (as on July 2017) |
| Committees (BMCs) constituted | |
| Challenges being faced | I. Lack of awareness about the BD Act, |
| | II. Inaccessibility of remote areas |
| Constitution of a State Biodiversity Fund (Section | : Yes |
| 32 of BDA:? | |
| | |

Activities undertaken in line with Biological Diversity Act (BDA), 2002

| People's Biodiversity Registers (PBRs) [Section 41; Rule | 22(6)] |
|--|---|
| No. of PBRs prepared in last 5 years | : 43 (2015-16) |
| How many PBRs have been posted online | : None |
| What are the problems being faced for the preparation of PBRs? | Taxonomic Identification, Meagre funding,Low level of technical know-how |
| What is the level of technical support received from | Institutes like RGU, ZSI, BSI, GBPNIHED |
| various agencies for the preparation of PBRs? | providing technical support as per the inputs by the BMCs. |
| Updated State Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (SBSAP)? | : NO, not sure |
| Have Biodiversity Heritage Sites been notified by your state? | : NO |
| Threatened species (Section 38 of the BDA) | |
| Have any specific species (plant/ animal/ microbe, etc.) | · |
| endemic to your state have been notified as | MOEF&CC for notification. |
| threatened species by the Central Government? | Biswamoyopterus buwasi & |
| | Lepidocephalycthis arunachalensis and |
| | Coptis teeta are presently endemic to |
| | the state. |

Mapping of NBAP Action points

 The group identifies implementation of about 50 points, there may be more, as many important departments like forests, agriculture, fishery are not represented.

- Action points appears comprehensive, in fact many action points seems repetitive.
- Schemes, rules seems enough, what is lacking is sincere implementation.

CHALLENGES

Technological

- ➤ Modelling
- ➤ Simulation
- > Forecasting

Human Resources

- > Dedicated human capital
- > Capacity building
- > Promote Innocentiveness

Financial

- ➤ Judicious (hill state) allocation
- ➤ Mid-term monitoring
- ➤ Implementation of BIOFIN

THANK YOU